

| Issue # | Category            | Module          | Found in Release | Title  | Release Note   |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 48501   | Feature Enhancement | Relationships   | 5.1              | Simplify the removal of an issue from a relationship group                               | <p>When you have a related item field in an add or edit screen, and you blank it out, the associated relationship is removed from the specified relationship group.</p> <p>Thus, for example, if you have layout element attributes on a field:<br/> RG_NAME = BEST_DATA_RG<br/> RG_TYPE = CHILDREN</p> <p>Then the child value is shown in the field when the item is edited. If you then clear this field out, then ALL children of the current issue in that rg will be removed. This similarly holds for PARENTS, MEMBERS, and RELATED types.</p> <p>Note that if there is more than one field with the same relationship associated with it in the form, then the insertions of relationships take priority over the removals. Thus, if there are two fields with the same attributes as given above, then BOTH must be cleared to remove the relationship; clearing only one will keep the relationship established.</p> |
| 34637   | Product Bug         | Home Page       | 4.3.2            | CompanyLogo image does not appear when running in a WAR file deployed application        | The root cause of this problem is in BEA WebLogic which returns the incorrect path to ExtraView. However we fixed the behavior by correcting the path in ExtraView if it resides in a WebLogic server and we detect the wrong path is returned.  |
| 25660   | Product Bug         | Layout Editor   | 4.2.2.9          | Visible-if greater than <underscore> attribute can never be changed                      | This was a boundary condition in the base code where it was never anticipated that a user would ever select a comparison against a single underscore character in a value. This has been fixed.  |
| 42084   | Product Bug         | Search / Report | 4.3.6.2          | Display as URL referencing the value of a second field, did not work for Detailed Report | The .TITLE extension is now supported for display_as_url for reports. Also, the .NAME and .VALUE extensions have been implemented.   |

| Issue # | Category    | Module          | Found in Release | Title  | Release Note   |
|---------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 48435   | Product Bug | Search / Report | 5.1              | Aging reports could sometimes show null in the Assigned To column              | This was observed on a customer site when historic data was imported. It was not observed on any site where records were inserted and updated through the GUI. The root cause proved to be the use of a timestamp in matching the historic record and the timestamp in the main table. When inserting records rapidly as happens with a data import, the load on the server often caused the two timestamps to differ by more than a second, resulting in no match on the records. Solution was to use the correct timestamp in the query. |
| 48760   | Product Bug | Search / Report | 5.1              | Column report with user fields used as group headings did not render correctly | This problem only affected user display type fields and caused the user's name to be repeated as a header for each row of the report, as opposed to appearing once for a group of records. This was fixed.   |